



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,451	12/20/2001	Mikio Onodera	9281-4255	1511
7590 03/29/2004		. EXAMINER		
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione			LEWIS, DAVID LEE	
P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2673	5
•		DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004	_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/027,451	ONODERA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David L Lewis	2673			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ja	anuary 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment	t(s)	•				
2) D Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-5 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-9 of copending Application No. 10/038,002, now allowed. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: both applications teach of a manual input device comprising a plurality of centrically arranged knobs and one force feedback actuator, as found in claim 1, but particularly as expressed in claim 2, and with

Application/Control Number: 10/027,451

Art Unit: 2673

a second actuator as found in claim 6. The claims are not identical but sufficiently similar.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 1. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Levin et al. (6154201).
- 2. As in claim 1, Levin et al. teaches of a manual input device comprising a joystick type knob, figure 3A and 18, a rotary knob that are disposed coaxially with the joystick knob, figure 3A and 18, a first actuator to load an external force on the joystick type knob, figure 8 item 70, a second actuator to load an external force on the rotary knob, column 21 lines 5-20, figure 8 item 70, a first detector

Page 3

Art Unit: 2673

to detect an operation state of the joystick type knob, **figure 8 item 214**, and a second detector to detect an operation state of the rotary knob, **column 21 lines 5-20**, **figure 8 item 214**. Wherein Levin teaches of a haptic feedback device, figure 1 and figure 3A, that operates as a joystick 50 providing transverse motion in a direction 28 and rotary knob 18 providing rotational motion about the joystick shaft axis, such that the joystick/knob 50/18 rotates and translates, having sensors 214 to measure said rotation and translation, and actuators 70 to provide a force in both the rotational and translational degree of freedom, as found in claim 1. Said joystick knob and said rotary knob are one and the same, given its dual functionality and connection to two independent actuators.

3. As in clam 2, Levin et al. teaches of wherein further comprising a guide member to define an operation direction of the joystick type knob, figure 3A item 52, column 8 lines 40-50. As in clam 3, Levin et al. teaches of wherein further comprising a control unit that controls the first actuator based on a signal supplied from the first detector and controls the second actuator based on a signal supplied from the second detector, the control unit provided in a box that houses the manual input device, figure 8 item 202. As in clam 4, Levin et al. teaches of wherein further comprising a control unit that controls the first actuator based on a signal supplied from the first detector and controls the second actuator based on a signal supplied from the second detector, the control unit provided in an external apparatus, figure 8 item 224.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,451

Art Unit: 2673

4. As in clam 5, Levin et al. teaches of an onboard instrument control device, figure 1, comprising: electric instrument selection switches to select an electric instrument having a function to be controlled, column 4 lines 5-50; and a manual input device to control various functions of the electric instrument selected by use of one of the selection switches, the manual input device comprising a joystick type knob, figure 1 item 18, figure 3A, a rotary knob that is disposed coaxially with the rotary knob, figure 1 item 18, figure 3A, a first actuator to load an external force on the joystick type knob, figure 8 item 70, a second actuator to load an external force on the rotary knob, column 21 lines 5-20, figure 8 item 70, a first detector to detect an operation state of the joystick type knob, figure 8 item 214, and second detector to detect an operation state of the rotary knob, column 21 lines 5-20, figure 8 item 214. Wherein Levin teaches of a haptic feedback device, figure 1 and figure 3A, that operates as a joystick 50 providing transverse motion in a direction 28 and rotary knob 18 providing rotational motion about the joystick shaft axis, such that the joystick/knob 50/18 rotates and translates, having sensors 214 to measure said rotation and translation, and actuators 70 to provide a force in both the rotational and translational degree of freedom, as found in claim 5. Said joystick knob and said rotary knob are one and the same, given its dual functionality and connection to two independent actuators.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,451

Art Unit: 2673

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Said joystick knob and said rotary knob are one and the same, given its dual functionality and connection to two independent actuators, meeting the limitation as amended. A terminal disclaimer is necessary to overcome the double patenting rejection over.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 6

Page 7

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L Lewis whose telephone number is 703 306-3026. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, TH, F. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 703 305-4938. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

dll

September 23, 2003

BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600